

**CHIRCHIK STATE
PEDAGOGICAL INSTITUTE**
TASHKENT REGION, UZBEKISTAN

**CLUSTER OF
PEDAGOGICAL
EDUCATION:**
**Problems and
Solutions**

JUNE 25-26, 2021

Indexed in



01

Pedagogical education cluster: necessity, need, result

02

Continuing education in sustainable development: innovation and integration

03

The concept of future teacher: the requirements of adaptation to life situations

04

Modern requirements for the content of education: based on international assessment programs (PISA, PIRLS)

International Online Conference

Building 2A, Istikbol Street,
Chirchik, Tashkent region,
Uzbekistan
chirchikcluster@gmail.com

OPTIMIZING ENGLISH LANGUAGE INSTRUCTION WITH PSYCHOLINGUISTIC METHODS

Feruza Odilovna Djabbarova

Senior teacher, Chirchik State Pedagogical Institute of Tashkent region

ABSTRACT

This article explores the application of psycholinguistic methods in teaching English, highlighting their effectiveness in enhancing language acquisition and proficiency. It delves into the theoretical foundations of psycholinguistics, including key language acquisition theories, cognitive processes, and interactionist approaches. The article then examines specific psycholinguistic methods for vocabulary acquisition, syntax and grammar instruction, and pronunciation training, providing evidence-based strategies such as semantic mapping, contextual learning, inductive and deductive approaches, error analysis, and phonemic awareness training. Additionally, it discusses classroom strategies and activities, including interactive techniques like role-playing and group discussions, and the use of technology-enhanced learning tools such as language learning apps and computer-assisted language learning (CALL). The importance of varied assessment methods, including formative, summative, self, and peer assessments, is also emphasized. The article concludes with implications for educators and policymakers, underscoring the need for integrating psycholinguistic principles into language teaching to create more effective and engaging learning environments.

Keywords: psycholinguistics, language acquisition, vocabulary learning, grammar instruction, pronunciation training, interactive techniques, technology-enhanced learning, formative assessment, summative assessment, English language teaching.

Introduction

Psycholinguistics is the interdisciplinary study of how language is acquired, produced, and understood by the human mind. It combines principles from psychology and linguistics to explore the mental mechanisms that facilitate language processing, including perception, memory, and cognition. The scope of psycholinguistics encompasses various aspects such as language development in children, the neurological underpinnings of language, and the cognitive processes involved in language comprehension and production.

Psycholinguistic methods are crucial in language teaching as they provide insights into how learners process and acquire a new language. These methods emphasize the importance of understanding the mental processes that underlie language learning, which can lead to more effective teaching strategies. By applying psycholinguistic principles, educators can design instructional approaches that cater to the cognitive and

psychological needs of learners, thereby enhancing their ability to acquire, retain, and use the English language proficiently.

Theoretical Foundations of Psycholinguistics

Psycholinguistics emerged in the mid-20th century as a distinct field, rooted in the convergence of psychology and linguistics. Initially, the field was influenced by behaviorist theories, which emphasized observable behavior and dismissed internal mental states. However, the cognitive revolution in the 1950s, marked by the seminal work of Noam Chomsky, fundamentally shifted this perspective. Chomsky's critique of behaviorism and his introduction of the concept of an innate "language acquisition device" (LAD) highlighted the importance of internal cognitive processes in language learning (Chomsky, 1959).

The subsequent development of psycholinguistics was shaped by advancements in cognitive psychology and neuroscience. Researchers began to explore the mental representations and neural mechanisms underlying language processing. This interdisciplinary approach led to a more comprehensive understanding of how language is acquired, produced, and comprehended.

Language Acquisition Theories – Language acquisition theories in psycholinguistics seek to explain how humans acquire the ability to understand and produce language. Three primary theories dominate this discourse: nativist, learning, and interactionist theories.

Nativist Theory: Proposed by Chomsky, the nativist theory asserts that humans are biologically predisposed to acquire language. According to this view, the LAD is a specialized brain mechanism that allows children to effortlessly acquire the grammar of their native language. Chomsky's theory emphasizes the universality of language acquisition across cultures and the rapid pace at which children learn language, suggesting an innate linguistic capacity (Chomsky, 1965).

Learning Theory: In contrast to the nativist perspective, the learning theory posits that language acquisition is primarily a result of environmental interaction and reinforcement. B.F. Skinner, a leading proponent of this theory, argued that children learn language through imitation, repetition, and reinforcement from caregivers (Skinner, 1957). This behaviorist approach highlights the role of external stimuli and social interaction in language learning.

Interactionist Theory: The interactionist approach combines elements of both nativist and learning theories, emphasizing the interplay between innate abilities and environmental factors. Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory is a prominent example, suggesting that language development is a socially mediated process where interaction with more knowledgeable others (e.g., parents, teachers) facilitates learning (Vygotsky, 1978). Interactionists believe that while children have an inherent capacity for language, social interaction plays a crucial role in shaping linguistic development.

Cognitive Processes in Language Learning – Understanding the cognitive processes involved in language learning is central to psycholinguistics. These processes include perception, memory, attention, and problem-solving, which collectively enable individuals to acquire and use language effectively.

Perception: Language perception involves recognizing and interpreting linguistic stimuli, such as phonemes, morphemes, and words. Phonological processing, the ability to discern and manipulate sound patterns, is crucial for language comprehension and production. Studies using neuroimaging techniques have identified specific brain regions, such as the superior temporal gyrus, that are involved in phonological processing (Hickok & Poeppel, 2007).

Memory: Memory plays a vital role in language learning, particularly working memory, which allows individuals to temporarily hold and manipulate linguistic information. Long-term memory is also essential for storing vocabulary and grammatical rules. Research has shown that memory processes are closely linked to language proficiency, with working memory capacity being a strong predictor of second language acquisition success (Baddeley, 2003).

Attention: Attention is necessary for focusing on relevant linguistic input and filtering out distractions. Selective attention enables learners to concentrate on specific language features, such as phonetic distinctions or syntactic structures. Studies have demonstrated that attentional control is critical for effective language learning, particularly in environments with competing stimuli (Fraib & Bialystok, 2006).

Problem-Solving: Language learning involves problem-solving skills, such as deducing meanings of unfamiliar words from context and applying grammatical rules to construct sentences. Cognitive strategies, such as hypothesis testing and error correction, facilitate the learning process. Research has highlighted the importance of metacognitive strategies, where learners monitor and regulate their own learning, in enhancing language acquisition (Flavell, 1979).

Interactionist Approaches – Interactionist approaches in psycholinguistics emphasize the role of social interaction in language development. These approaches argue that linguistic competence is not solely an individual cognitive achievement but is also shaped by social and cultural contexts.

Social Interaction Theory: Building on Vygotsky's work, social interaction theory posits that language development is driven by communicative interactions with more knowledgeable individuals. This theory highlights the importance of scaffolding, where caregivers provide support to help children progress through the zone of proximal development (ZPD) (Vygotsky, 1978). Scaffolding involves adjusting the complexity of language input to match the learner's current level of understanding, gradually increasing complexity as competence improves.

Communicative Competence: Dell Hymes introduced the concept of communicative competence, which encompasses not only grammatical knowledge but

also the ability to use language appropriately in various social contexts (Hymes, 1972). This approach emphasizes the functional and pragmatic aspects of language use, suggesting that effective communication involves understanding and adhering to social norms and conventions.

Input Hypothesis: Stephen Krashen's input hypothesis is another influential interactionist theory, proposing that language acquisition occurs when learners are exposed to comprehensible input that is slightly beyond their current level of competence (i+1) (Krashen, 1985). This theory underscores the importance of exposure to meaningful language input in naturalistic settings, where learners can infer meaning through context and receive implicit feedback on their language use.

Psycholinguistic Methods in Teaching English

Semantic Mapping – Semantic mapping is a visual strategy that helps learners organize and relate new vocabulary to existing knowledge. This technique involves creating diagrams that connect words with related concepts, synonyms, antonyms, and examples. By visually representing these relationships, semantic mapping enhances memory retention and retrieval of vocabulary (Nagy & Anderson, 1984). Research has shown that semantic mapping can significantly improve vocabulary acquisition and comprehension, as it facilitates deeper cognitive processing (Graves, 2006).

Contextual Learning – Contextual learning involves teaching vocabulary within the context of meaningful sentences and discourse rather than in isolation. This method leverages the principle that learners can infer the meanings of new words from the surrounding context, enhancing understanding and retention (Nation, 2001). Contextual learning aligns with the psycholinguistic theory that language is best learned through meaningful use rather than rote memorization. Studies have demonstrated that contextualized vocabulary instruction leads to better long-term retention and ability to use new words in appropriate contexts (Beck, McKeown, & Kucan, 2013).

Mnemonic Devices – Mnemonic devices are memory aids that help learners associate new vocabulary with familiar concepts or patterns. These techniques often involve acronyms, rhymes, visual imagery, or associations that make new words more memorable (Atkinson, 1975). For example, linking the word "gregarious" with the image of a friendly "Greg" who loves social gatherings can aid retention. Mnemonics are particularly effective for vocabulary learning because they leverage the brain's natural capacity for pattern recognition and association (Thornbury, 2002).

Inductive vs. Deductive Approaches – Inductive and deductive approaches are two fundamental methods for teaching grammar.

➤ **Inductive Approach:** The inductive approach involves presenting learners with examples and allowing them to infer grammatical rules through observation and analysis. This method aligns with discovery learning principles, encouraging active engagement and cognitive processing (Ellis, 1993). Research indicates that the inductive approach can

enhance long-term retention and understanding of grammatical structures, as learners are more likely to internalize rules they have discovered themselves (Shaffer, 1989).

➤ **Deductive Approach:** In contrast, the deductive approach involves explicitly teaching grammatical rules followed by practice exercises. This method provides clear and direct instruction, which can be beneficial for learners who prefer structured and systematic learning (DeKeyser, 1995). Studies suggest that while the deductive approach can lead to quick initial understanding, it may not always result in deep internalization of grammatical concepts (Norris & Ortega, 2000).

Error Analysis and Corrective Feedback – Error analysis and corrective feedback are essential components of effective grammar instruction.

➤ **Error Analysis:** This involves systematically identifying and analyzing learners' grammatical errors to understand their underlying causes. By focusing on common errors, teachers can tailor instruction to address specific areas of difficulty (Corder, 1967). Error analysis helps in developing targeted interventions and promotes a deeper understanding of learners' interlanguage development.

➤ **Corrective Feedback:** Providing corrective feedback helps learners recognize and correct their errors, facilitating language development. There are various forms of corrective feedback, including explicit correction, recasts, and metalinguistic feedback (Lyster & Ranta, 1997). Research indicates that timely and consistent feedback can significantly improve grammatical accuracy and language proficiency (Russell & Spada, 2006).

Sentence Parsing Techniques – Sentence parsing involves breaking down sentences into their constituent parts to understand their grammatical structure. This technique helps learners develop syntactic awareness and improve their ability to construct and comprehend complex sentences (Mitchell, 1994). Parsing exercises can involve diagramming sentences, identifying parts of speech, and analyzing syntactic functions. Studies have shown that sentence parsing enhances grammatical understanding and reading comprehension (Keenan & MacWhinney, 1987).

Phonemic Awareness Training – Phonemic awareness training focuses on developing learners' ability to recognize and manipulate individual sounds (phonemes) in words. This foundational skill is crucial for accurate pronunciation and spelling (Adams, 1990). Activities such as phoneme segmentation, blending, and substitution can improve phonemic awareness. Research has demonstrated that phonemic awareness training is highly effective in enhancing pronunciation skills, particularly for young learners and those learning English as a second language (Ehri et al., 2001).

Minimal Pair Drills – Minimal pair drills involve practicing pairs of words that differ by only one phoneme, such as "ship" and "sheep" or "bat" and "pat." This technique helps learners discern subtle differences in pronunciation that can affect meaning (Baker, 2006). Minimal pair drills are effective in improving learners'

phonological awareness and pronunciation accuracy, as they highlight specific areas of difficulty and provide focused practice (Brown, 2001).

Intonation and Stress Patterns – Teaching intonation and stress patterns is essential for helping learners achieve natural-sounding speech and effective communication. Intonation refers to the rise and fall of pitch in speech, while stress involves emphasizing certain syllables or words (Celce-Murcia, Brinton, & Goodwin, 1996). Activities such as shadowing, where learners mimic native speakers' intonation and stress patterns, can improve prosodic features of speech. Studies have found that explicit instruction in intonation and stress can enhance learners' comprehensibility and fluency (Derwing & Rossiter, 2003).

Classroom Strategies and Activities

Role-playing and Simulations

Role-playing and simulations are powerful interactive techniques that engage learners in authentic language use. These activities involve assigning roles to students and having them act out real-life scenarios, such as shopping, job interviews, or emergency situations. Role-playing helps learners practice conversational skills, negotiate meaning, and use language in contextually appropriate ways (Livingstone, 1983). Simulations, which are more structured, immerse students in complex, real-world tasks requiring problem-solving and critical thinking. Research has shown that these activities enhance language fluency, increase learner motivation, and foster a deeper understanding of language functions (Bygate, 2016).

Group Discussions and Debates

Group discussions and debates encourage collaborative learning and critical thinking. These activities require students to articulate their thoughts, defend their opinions, and respond to others, promoting active engagement and language use. Group discussions can be centered around various topics, including current events, literature, or cultural issues, allowing learners to explore different perspectives and expand their vocabulary (Brookfield & Preskill, 2012). Debates, on the other hand, focus on argumentative skills and the ability to construct coherent arguments. Studies have found that discussions and debates improve language proficiency, foster confidence in speaking, and develop critical thinking skills (Kennedy, 2007).

Language Learning Apps

Language learning apps provide interactive and personalized learning experiences through mobile and digital platforms. Apps like Duolingo, Babbel, and Memrise offer gamified lessons, quizzes, and spaced repetition techniques to reinforce vocabulary and grammar (Godwin-Jones, 2011). These apps enable learners to practice at their own pace, track their progress, and receive immediate feedback. Research indicates that language learning apps can be effective supplements to traditional classroom instruction, enhancing learner engagement and motivation (Kim, 2015). Additionally, they provide

opportunities for incidental learning and continuous practice outside the classroom (Kukulska-Hulme, 2012).

Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL)

Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL) encompasses a wide range of digital tools and resources used to support language instruction. CALL applications include multimedia resources, interactive exercises, online courses, and virtual learning environments (Warschauer, 1996). These technologies provide rich, multimodal input and facilitate individualized learning paths. CALL enhances language learning by offering authentic materials, real-time feedback, and opportunities for synchronous and asynchronous communication with peers and instructors (Levy, 2009). Studies have shown that CALL can significantly improve language skills, particularly in listening, reading comprehension, and writing (Chapelle, 2001).

Formative Assessments

Formative assessments are ongoing evaluations used to monitor student learning and provide feedback for improvement. These assessments include quizzes, journal entries, peer reviews, and informal observations. Formative assessments help teachers identify areas where students are struggling and adjust instruction accordingly (Black & Wiliam, 1998). They encourage a continuous learning process, where feedback is used to guide student progress and enhance understanding. Research highlights the effectiveness of formative assessments in improving academic achievement and fostering a growth mindset (Hattie & Timperley, 2007).

Summative Assessments

Summative assessments evaluate student learning at the end of an instructional period, providing a comprehensive measure of their proficiency. These assessments include standardized tests, final exams, projects, and presentations. Summative assessments are essential for determining whether learning objectives have been met and for assigning grades (Harlen & James, 1997). While summative assessments provide valuable data on student performance, it is crucial to balance them with formative assessments to ensure a holistic evaluation of student learning. Studies suggest that a combination of formative and summative assessments leads to better learning outcomes and more accurate assessments of student abilities (Brookhart, 2010).

Self and Peer Assessments

Self and peer assessments involve students evaluating their own or their peers' work, promoting reflection and critical thinking. Self-assessment encourages learners to take ownership of their learning, set goals, and identify strengths and areas for improvement (Andrade & Valtcheva, 2009). Peer assessment provides opportunities for collaborative learning, where students can give and receive constructive feedback. This process helps develop evaluative skills and fosters a sense of community in the classroom. Research has shown that self and peer assessments can enhance learning by

increasing student engagement, improving critical thinking skills, and fostering a deeper understanding of assessment criteria (Topping, 2009).

Conclusion

In summary, psycholinguistic methods provide valuable insights into the mental processes involved in language learning, emphasizing the importance of cognitive and social factors. Techniques such as semantic mapping, contextual learning, and mnemonic devices enhance vocabulary acquisition by facilitating deeper cognitive processing and retention. In syntax and grammar instruction, the use of inductive and deductive approaches, along with error analysis and corrective feedback, helps learners internalize grammatical rules and improve accuracy. Pronunciation and phonetics training, including phonemic awareness, minimal pair drills, and intonation practice, contribute to more natural and comprehensible speech. Interactive and communicative techniques like role-playing, simulations, group discussions, and debates foster active language use and critical thinking. Technology-enhanced learning, through language learning apps and CALL, offers personalized and engaging learning experiences, while varied assessment methods, including formative, summative, self, and peer assessments, provide comprehensive evaluations of student progress.

For educators and policymakers, the implications are clear: integrating psycholinguistic principles into language teaching can significantly enhance the effectiveness of instruction and support learners' overall language development. Policymakers should consider incorporating these methods into curriculum design and teacher training programs to ensure that educators are equipped with the necessary tools and strategies. Additionally, the use of technology in the classroom should be encouraged to provide learners with diverse and flexible learning opportunities.

Ultimately, the role of psycholinguistics in English language teaching is pivotal. By understanding and applying psycholinguistic principles, educators can create more effective and engaging learning environments that cater to the cognitive and psychological needs of learners. This approach not only facilitates language acquisition but also empowers learners to use the language proficiently in real-world contexts. As research in psycholinguistics continues to evolve, it will undoubtedly contribute to the ongoing improvement of language teaching practices, ultimately benefiting learners and educators alike.

REFERENCES

1. Adams, M. J. (1990). *Beginning to Read: Thinking and Learning about Print*. MIT Press.
2. Andrade, H., & Valtcheva, A. (2009). Promoting learning and achievement through self-assessment. *Theory Into Practice*, 48(1), 12-19.

3. Atkinson, R. C. (1975). Mnemotechnics in second-language learning. *American Psychologist*, 30(8), 821.
4. Baddeley, A. (2003). Working memory and language: An overview. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 36(3), 189-208.
5. Baker, A. (2006). *Ship or Sheep? An Intermediate Pronunciation Course*. Cambridge University Press.
6. Beck, I. L., McKeown, M. G., & Kucan, L. (2013). *Bringing Words to Life: Robust Vocabulary Instruction*. Guilford Press.
7. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7-74.
8. Brookfield, S. D., & Preskill, S. (2012). *Discussion as a Way of Teaching: Tools and Techniques for Democratic Classrooms*. Jossey-Bass.
9. Brookhart, S. M. (2010). *How to Assess Higher-Order Thinking Skills in Your Classroom*. ASCD.
10. Brown, H. D. (2001). *Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy*. Longman.
11. Bygate, M. (2016). *Language Use and Language Learning: Theory and Practice*. Oxford University Press.
12. Celce-Murcia, M., Brinton, D. M., & Goodwin, J. M. (1996). *Teaching Pronunciation: A Reference for Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages*. Cambridge University Press.
13. Chapelle, C. A. (2001). *Computer Applications in Second Language Acquisition: Foundations for Teaching, Testing, and Research*. Cambridge University Press.
14. Chomsky, N. (1959). A review of B.F. Skinner's Verbal Behavior. *Language*, 35(1), 26-58.
15. Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the Theory of Syntax*. MIT Press.
16. Corder, S. P. (1967). The significance of learners' errors. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*, 5(1-4), 161-170.
17. Craik, F. I., & Bialystok, E. (2006). Cognition through the lifespan: Mechanisms of change. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(3), 131-138.
18. DeKeyser, R. M. (1995). Learning second language grammar rules: An experiment with a miniature linguistic system. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 17(3), 379-410.
19. Derwing, T. M., & Rossiter, M. J. (2003). The effects of pronunciation instruction on the accuracy, fluency, and complexity of L2 accented speech. *Applied Language Learning*, 13(1), 1-17.
20. Ehri, L. C., Nunes, S. R., Willows, D. M., Schuster, B. V., Yaghoub-Zadeh, Z., & Shanahan, T. (2001). Phonemic awareness instruction helps children learn to read: Evidence from the National Reading Panel's meta-analysis. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 36(3), 250-287.

21. Ellis, R. (1993). *Second Language Acquisition and the Structural Syllabus*. TESOL Quarterly, 27(1), 91-113.
22. Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive–developmental inquiry. *American Psychologist*, 34(10), 906.
23. Godwin-Jones, R. (2011). Emerging technologies: Mobile apps for language learning. *Language Learning & Technology*, 15(2), 2-11.
24. Graves, M. F. (2006). *The Vocabulary Book: Learning and Instruction*. Teachers College Press.
25. Harlen, W., & James, M. (1997). Assessment and learning: Differences and relationships between formative and summative assessment. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 4(3), 365-379.
26. Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112.
27. Hickok, G., & Poeppel, D. (2007). The cortical organization of speech processing. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 8(5), 393-402.
28. Hymes, D. (1972). On communicative competence. In J. B. Pride & J. Holmes (Eds.), *Sociolinguistics*. Penguin Books.
29. Keenan, J. M., & MacWhinney, B. (1987). Understanding the relationship between comprehension and production. In A. G. Bower (Ed.), *The Psychology of Learning and Motivation* (Vol. 21, pp. 135-176). Academic Press.
30. Kennedy, R. (2007). In-class debates: Fertile ground for active learning and the cultivation of critical thinking and oral communication skills. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 19(2), 183-190.
31. Kim, H. S. (2015). Using authentic videos to improve EFL students' listening comprehension. *International Journal of Contents*, 11(1), 15-24.
32. Krashen, S. (1985). *The Input Hypothesis: Issues and Implications*. Longman.
33. Kukulska-Hulme, A. (2012). Language learning defined by time and place: A framework for next generation designs. In A. Kukulska-Hulme & J. Traxler (Eds.), *Mobile Learning: A Handbook for Educators and Trainers* (pp. 25-44). Routledge.
34. Levy, M. (2009). Technologies in use for second language learning. *The Modern Language Journal*, 93(s1), 769-782.
35. Livingstone, C. (1983). *Role-Play in Language Learning*. Longman.
36. Lyster, R., & Ranta, L. (1997). Corrective feedback and learner uptake: Negotiation of form in communicative classrooms. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 19(1), 37-66.
37. Mitchell, D. C. (1994). Sentence parsing. In M. A. Gernsbacher (Ed.), *Handbook of Psycholinguistics* (pp. 375-409). Academic Press.
38. Nagy, W. E., & Anderson, R. C. (1984). How many words are there in printed school English? *Reading Research Quarterly*, 19(3), 304-330.

39. Nation, I. S. P. (2001). *Learning Vocabulary in Another Language*. Cambridge University Press.
40. Norris, J. M., & Ortega, L. (2000). Effectiveness of L2 instruction: A research synthesis and quantitative meta-analysis. *Language Learning*, 50(3), 417-528.
41. Russell, J., & Spada, N. (2006). The effectiveness of corrective feedback for the acquisition of L2 grammar: A meta-analysis of the research. In J. Norris & L. Ortega (Eds.), *Synthesizing Research on Language Learning and Teaching*. John Benjamins.
42. Shaffer, C. (1989). A comparison of inductive and deductive approaches to teaching foreign languages. *The Modern Language Journal*, 73(4), 395-403.
43. Skinner, B. F. (1957). *Verbal Behavior*. Appleton-Century-Crofts.
44. Thornbury, S. (2002). *How to Teach Vocabulary*. Longman.
45. Topping, K. J. (2009). Peer assessment. *Theory Into Practice*, 48(1), 20-27.
46. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press.
47. Warschauer, M. (1996). Computer-assisted language learning: An introduction. In S. Fotos (Ed.), *Multimedia Language Teaching* (pp. 3-20). Logos International.

**4. TA'LLIM MAZMUNIGA Q'YIILAETGAN ZAMONAVIY TALABLAR:
XALQARO BAHOlash DASTURLARI (PISA, PIRLS) ASOSIDA**

**MODERN REQUIREMENTS FOR THE CONTENT OF EDUCATION:
4. ON THE BASIS OF INTERNATIONAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAMS (PISA, PIRLS)**

**4. СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТРЕБОВАНИЯ К СОДЕРЖАНИЮ ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ:
НА ОСНОВЕ МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫХ ПРОГРАММ ОЦЕНКИ (PISA, PIRLS)**

283. Abdirimova, I. K., & Boymurodova, Z. B. (2021). XALQARO BAHOLASH TIZIMINING ASOSIY XUSUSIYATLARI VA TA'LIM SOHASIDAGI AHAMIYATI. *Pedagogik ta'lim klasteri: muammo va yechimlar*, 1425-1429.

284. Ahadov, M. S. (2021). KIMYO FANIDAN XALQARO BAHOLASH DASTURLARI PISA VA PIRLS: NAZARIYA VA AMALIYOT. *Pedagogik ta'lim klasteri: muammo va yechimlar*, 1430-1434.

285. Djabbarova, F. O. (2021). OPTIMIZING ENGLISH LANGUAGE INSTRUCTION WITH PSYCHOLINGUISTIC METHODS. *Pedagogik ta'lim klasteri: muammo va yechimlar*, 1435-1445.

287. Chuliyeva, Z. S. (2021). KIMYO FANINI O'QITISHDA MUAMMOLI TA'LIM METODLARINING SAMARADORLIGI. *Pedagogik ta'lim klasteri: muammo va yechimlar*, 1446-1447.

288. Raximov, A. K., & Rasulova, O. O. (2021). O'QUVCHILARNING BIOLOGIYA FANIDAN KREATIV FIKRLASH QOBILIYATINI SHAKLLANTIRISHDA XALQARO PISA DASTURINING AHAMIYATI. *Pedagogik ta'lim klasteri: muammo va yechimlar*, 1448-1450.

289. Djumayeva, S. N. (2021). BOSHLANG'ICH SINFLARDA MATEMATIKA FANINI O'QITISHDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALARDAN FOYDALANISH. *Pedagogik ta'lim klasteri: muammo va yechimlar*, 1451-1455.

290. Eshnazarova, J. B. (2021). PIRLS TADQIQOTI UCHUN TOPSHIRIQLAR TAYYORLASH VA ULAR USTIDA ISHLASH TEXNOLOGIYASI. *Pedagogik ta'lim klasteri: muammo va yechimlar*, 1456-1460.

291. G'ulomova, X., & Bakiyeva, H. S. (2021). BOSHLANG'ICH TA'LIMDA 4-SINF O'QUVCHILARINI XALQARO PIRLS NAZORATIGA TAYYORLASHNING METODIK ASOSLARI. *Pedagogik ta'lim klasteri: muammo va yechimlar*, 1461-1466.

292. Iskandarova, Z. A. (2021). AQLLI TEXNOLOGIYALARNING ILMIY-TADQIQOT JARAYONIDA TATBIQ ETISHDAGI O'RNI. *Pedagogik ta'lim klasteri: muammo va yechimlar*, 1467-1470.

293. Jumanova, F. U., & Artikova, N. S. (2021). PIRLS TADQIQOTLARIGA TAYYORLASHDA "ORGANAYZER" METODIDAN FOYDALANISH IMKONIYATLARI. *Pedagogik ta'lim klasteri: muammo va yechimlar*, 1471-1475.

294. Maxmudova, D. M., & Mirxalilova, N. A. (2021). "PISA" XALQARO DASTURIDA MATEMATIK SAVODXONLIK. *Pedagogik ta'lim klasteri: muammo va yechimlar*, 1476-1480.